

Copper-catalyzed cascade reactions of substituted 4-iodopyrazolecarbaldehydes with 1,2-phenylenediamines and 2-aminophenols**

Maloy Nayak^a and Sanjay Batra^{a,*}

^a Medicinal and Process Chemistry Division, Central Drug Research Institute (CSIR), PO Box 173, Lucknow 226 001, India, Fax: +91-522-2623405, 2623938; Phone: +91-522-2621411-18 Extn. 4234, 4368; e-mail:

batra_san@yahoo.co.uk, s_batra@cdri.res.in

** CDRI Communication No. 7986

Abstract. A new approach for the synthesis of novel annulated-pyrazoles is presented. This protocol includes an intermolecular condensation followed by a copper-mediated intramolecular C-N or C-O coupling reaction. The method is applied to a range of substituted 4-iodopyrazolecarbaldehydes which react with 1,2-phenylenediamines or 2-aminophenols to yield substituted-2,4 or 1,4-dihydrobenzo[*b*]pyrazolo[4,3-*e*][1,4]diazepines or substituted-2*H* or 1*H*-benzo[*b*]pyrazolo[3,4-*f*][1,4]oxazepines, respectively.

Keywords: Pyrazole; Copper; C-N coupling; C-O coupling; diazepines; oxazepines

Compounds containing pyrazole motif are of significant interest in medicinal, pharmaceutical and agricultural research due to their important biological activities.^[1] Beside being core unit of commercial drugs such as zometapin, sildenafil, celebrex and rimonabant, there are numerous pyrazoles that are ascribed with anti-inflammatory, anticancer, anti-HIV, antibacterial and cannabinoid receptor antagonist activities.^[2] Primarily due to this reason, organic chemists are motivated to continuously develop strategies for producing new pyrazole-based compounds.^[3] In this context the transition-metal-promoted cross-coupling reactions have been of great value as they provide robust route to install desired substitution in pyrazoles. Surprisingly however, all transition-metal-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions at 3, 4 or 5-position result in C-C bond formation whereas the C-N coupling is restricted to Ullman reaction leading to N-arylated pyrazoles.^[4] Recently, we reported the synthesis of pyrazolo[4,3-*b*]pyridine-5-ones via first copper-promoted intramolecular amidation in 4-iodopyrazole derivatives generated either from Morita-Baylis-Hillman (MBH) or Horner-Wadsworth-Emmon chemistries.^[5] Though during the study the C-N coupling with allyl amides was successful, we failed to achieve similar cross-coupling reactions with allyl amines afforded via MBH chemistry. Nevertheless, we were keen to explore the copper-promoted cross couplings of substituted 4-iodopyrazoles as it would allow access

to intermediates suitable for generating novel annulated pyrazoles. Recently Reeves et al. reported the synthesis of several azole-annulated quinoxaline via copper-catalyzed annulation of 2-formylazoles with *o*-aminoiodoarenes whereas two identical papers by Cai et al. and Zhou et al. described synthesis of aza-fused polycyclic quinolines through copper-catalyzed cascade reactions.^[6] Based on these reports, we anticipated that treating 4-iodopyrazolecarbaldehydes with 1,2-phenylenediamine or 2-aminophenol in the presence of a copper-catalyst may initiate a cascade reaction leading to the synthesis of novel annulated pyrazoles (Fig. 1). To our knowledge such a strategy for annulated pyrazoles has not been developed to date. In this update we disclose the results on development of this methodology.




Figure 1. Cascade pathway to new annulated pyrazoles.

Initial feasibility of the approach was tested with 4-iodo-1,5-diphenyl-1*H*-pyrazole-3-carbaldehyde and 1,2-phenylenediamine employing some commonly used copper source, ligands, bases and solvents. The results of the optimization study are illustrated in Table 1. To our delight use of CuI as copper source, L-proline as ligand and K₃PO₄ as base led to isolation of 76% of the desired product (Table 1, entry 1).⁷ Changing the ligand to DMEDA was observed to be detrimental leading to significant drop in the yields (Table 1, entry 2). Amongst different bases, solvents and copper-sources examined, K₃PO₄, DMSO and CuI, respectively were found to be superior for this reaction. Later as a routine, we checked the reaction

under copper-free or ligand-free conditions too. Although copper-less condition failed to induce a reaction, we were surprised to discover that reaction was successful under ligand-free condition (Table 1, entry 10-12). Indeed the ligand-free reaction using K_3PO_4 furnished 85% of the required product whereas other evaluated bases though successful furnished product in relatively lower yields. Hence the two most successful conditions of 10 mol% CuI, ligand-free or 20 mol% L-proline and 300 mol% K_3PO_4 in DMSO at 90 °C were simultaneously used for further investigation.

Table 1. Optimization of Reaction conditions^a with 1,2-phenylenediamine.

Entry	Catalyst	Ligand	Base	Solvent	Yield (%) ^b
1	CuI	L-Proline	K_3PO_4	DMSO	76
2	CuI	DMEDA	K_3PO_4	DMSO	24
3	CuI	L-Proline	K_2CO_3	DMSO	37
4	CuI	L-Proline	CS_2CO_3	DMSO	28
5	CuI	L-Proline	K_3PO_4	DMF	62
6	CuI	L-Proline	K_3PO_4	Toluene	13
7	CuI	L-Proline	K_3PO_4	Dioxane	41
8	CuCl	L-Proline	K_3PO_4	DMSO	32
9	Cu_2O	L-Proline	K_3PO_4	DMSO	17
10	CuI	-	K_3PO_4	DMSO	85
11	CuI	-	K_2CO_3	DMSO	65
12	CuI	-	CS_2CO_3	DMSO	58


^a) Reaction conditions: 4-iodo-3-pyrazolecarbaldehyde (1.0 mmol), o-phenylenediamine (1.0 mmol), CuI (0.1 mmol), ligand (0.2 mmol), base (3.0 mmol), solvent (1.0 mL), 90 °C, 36 h. ^b) Isolated yields.

The scope of reaction was explored by using a combination of different 4-iodo-pyrazolecarbaldehydes and several 1,2-phenylenediamines (Table 2). It was satisfying to note that all substrates reacted smoothly to afford the required products and the yields were better in ligand-free conditions. Changing the substitution from phenyl to methyl at 1-position did not affect the outcome of the reaction (Table 2, entry 14). Likewise substitution pattern in the phenyl ring placed at C-5 or leaving it unsubstituted also did not influence the formation of product. In general, presence of mild electron withdrawing group on 1,2-phenylenediamine resulted in relatively lower yields of the products as compared to unsubstituted 1,2-phenylenediamine or the one containing a mild electron donating group. Thus this strategy proved to be a general and convenient route for the synthesis of substituted-2,4

or 1,4-dihydrobenzo[*b*]pyrazolo[4,3-*e*][1,4]diazepines.

Table 2. Scope and limitations


Entry	Aldehyde	1,2-Phenylene-diamine	Product	Yield (%) ^a
1				85/ 76
2				60/ 52
3				55/ 48
4				82/ 68
5				77/ 66
6				57/ 50
7				56/ 49
8				72/ 61
9				79/ 65
10				58/ 52
11				56/ 51
12				78/ 65
13				62/ 56



^{a)} Isolated yields for the product under ligand-free/ L-proline containing reactions.

The second portion of this work involved the extension of the strategy to similar copper-catalyzed cascade reaction of 4-iodopyrazolecarbaldehydes with 2-aminophenol involving C-O coupling. It was satisfying to note that reacting 4-iodo-1,5-diphenyl-1*H*-pyrazole-3-carbaldehyde and 2-aminophenol in the presence of CuI and Cs₂CO₃ in toluene under ligand-free conditions successfully yielded 34% of the required product. However introduction of 1,10-phenanthroline as ligand keeping all other conditions constant improved the yield significantly (Table 3, entry 2).⁸ A brief survey of reactions with different bases and solvents indicated Cs₂CO₃ and toluene to be superior for the purpose. Thus the optimal condition of CuI (10 mol%), ligand 20 mol%, base 200 mol% in toluene at 90 °C for 24 h was employed for further screening.

Table 3. Optimization of Reaction conditions^a with 2-aminophenol



Entry	Catalyst	Ligand	Base	Solvent	Yield (%) ^b
1	CuI		Cs ₂ CO ₃	Toluene	34
2	CuI	1,10-phenanthroline	Cs ₂ CO ₃	Toluene	72
3	CuI	1,10-phenanthroline	Cs ₂ CO ₃	DMF	18
4	CuI	1,10-phenanthroline	Cs ₂ CO ₃	Dioxane	34
5	CuI	1,10-phenanthroline	K ₂ CO ₃	Toluene	0
6	CuI	1,10-phenanthroline	K ₃ PO ₄	Toluene	13
7	Cu ₂ O	1,10-phenanthroline	Cs ₂ CO ₃	Toluene	54
8	CuCl	1,10-phenanthroline	Cs ₂ CO ₃	Toluene	47


phenanthroline

^{a)} Reaction conditions: 4-iodo-3-pyrazolecarbaldehyde (1.0 mmol), 2-amino phenol (1.0 mmol), CuI (0.1 mmol), ligand (0.2 mmol), base (2.0 mmol), solvent (1.0 mL), 90 °C, 24 h. ^{b)} Isolated yields.

With the optimized condition in hand, we studied the generality of this method with various 4-iodopyrazolecarbaldehydes and different 2-aminophenols and the results are presented in Table 4. Although the nature of 4-iodopyrazolecarbaldehydes did not have any effect on the yields, the substituents present on 2-aminophenols influenced the formation of the final product. For example the 2-aminophenols containing electron donating group such as methyl gave better yields as compared to the ones having electron withdrawing group such as chloro. However, the 2-aminophenol bearing strong electron withdrawing group such as nitro failed to react to yield the required product (Table 4, entry 6).


Table 4. Scope and limitations

Entry	Aldehyde	2-Amino-phenol	Product	Yield (%) ^a
1				72
2				59
3				60
4				57
5				70
6			No reaction	
7				64
8				57
9				56



a) Isolated yields.

We speculate that in the first step, condensation reaction between the aldehyde and aniline occurs resulting in the formation of imine. Subsequently the copper-promoted C-N or C-O cross coupling reaction involving either the amino group of 1,2-phenylenediamine or hydroxyl group of 2-aminophenol take place respectively, leading to the intramolecularly cyclised product. In an effort to provide rational to this basis in a representative reaction the formyl group of 4-iodo-1,5-diphenyl-1*H*-pyrazole-3-carbaldehyde was transformed to acetal which was then independently reacted with 1,2-phenylenediamine and 2-aminophenol. Under both circumstances the reactions failed and the starting materials were recovered unreacted (scheme 1). In view of this result, it is proposed that the imine formed as the first step in the process has *Z*-stereochemistry across the double bond which then undergoes the intramolecular cyclization via cross-coupling. All attempts to perform intermolecular C-N or C-O coupling reactions during this study were, however, unsuccessful.



Scheme 1.

In conclusion, we have developed an unprecedented strategy for the construction of substituted-2,4 or 1,4-dihydrobenzo[*b*]pyrazolo[4,3-*e*][1,4]diazepines or substituted-2*H* or 1*H*-benzo[*b*]pyrazolo[3,4-*f*][1,4]oxazepines via a copper-catalyzed cascade cyclization. We have demonstrated the scope of the strategy with a variety of reactants. This approach therefore updates the literature on the copper-catalyzed cross coupling in pyrazoles and offers opportunity to construct new fused-benzazepines and benzoxepines with other heterocyclic systems too.

Experimental Section

General Procedure for pyrazole-annulated benzodiazepines as exemplified for the synthesis of 2,3-diphenyl-2,4-dihydropyrazolo[4,3-*b*][1,5]benzodiazepine

To a solution of 4-iodo-1,5-diphenyl-1*H*-pyrazole-3-carbaldehyde (200 mg, 0.53 mmol) in DMSO (4 mL), K_3PO_4 (339 mg, 1.60 mmol) and CuI (10 mg, 0.053 mmol) were added and the reaction mixture was heated at 90 °C for 36 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. Thereafter, water (50 mL) and ethyl acetate (25 mL) was added and the reaction mass was pass through a Celite bed and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was further extracted with ethyl acetate (2 x 20 mL) and the collected organic layer was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous Na_2SO_4 and concentrated under vacuum. Column chromatography of the crude product over silica gel furnished the pure 2,3-diphenyl-2,4-dihydropyrazolo[4,3-*b*][1,5]benzodiazepine as a yellow solid (ethyl acetate/hexanes, 1:5; yield: 153 mg, 85 %).

2,3-Diphenyl-2,4-dihydropyrazolo[4,3-*b*][1,5]benzodiazepine (Table 2, entry 1): Mp 248-249 °C; $R_f = 0.54$ (hexanes: EtOAc, 70:30, v/v); IR (KBr): ν_{max} 3443 (NH) cm^{-1} ; 1H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 7.26-7.37 (m, 14H), 7.84 (s, 1H), 10.13 (brs, 1H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR (50 MHz, $CDCl_3 + DMSO-d_6$): δ 106.6, 122.0, 125.2, 127.7, 128.3, 128.6, 129.4, 139.4, 144.0, 144.4, 146.3 ppm; ESIMS (m/z) 337 [M+H] $^+$; DART-HRMS Calcd. for $C_{22}H_{17}N_4$ [MH] $^+$: 337.1453. Found: 337.1450.

General Procedure for pyrazole-annulated benzoxepines as exemplified for the synthesis of 2,3-diphenyl-2*H*-pyrazolo[4,3-*b*][1,5]benzoxazepine

To a solution of 4-iodo-1,5-diphenyl-1*H*-pyrazole-3-carbaldehyde (200 mg, 0.53 mmol) in toluene (10 mL), Cs_2CO_3 (348 mg, 1.07 mmol), CuI (10 mg, 0.053 mmol) and 1,10-phenanthroline (19 mg, 0.107 mmol) were added and the reaction mixture was heated at 90 °C for 24 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. Thereafter, water and ethyl acetate was added and the reaction mass was pass through a Celite bed and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was further extracted with ethyl acetate (2 x 20 mL)

and the collected organic layer was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and concentrated under vacuum. Column chromatography of the crude product over silica gel furnished the pure 2,3-Diphenyl-2H-pyrazolo[4,3-*b*][1,5]benzoxazepine as a white solid (ethyl acetate/hexanes, 1:20; yield: 130 mg, 72 %).

2,3-Diphenyl-2H-pyrazolo[4,3-*b*][1,5]benzoxazepine (Table 4, entry 1): Mp 169-170 °C; R_f = 0.34 (hexanes: EtOAc, 90:10, v/v); ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.26-7.29 (m, 13H), 7.64 (dd, *J* = 3.2 and 5.9 Hz, 1H), 7.81 (dd, *J* = 3.2 and 5.9 Hz, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 108.5, 111.1, 120.3, 124.8, 125.5, 125.8, 128.5, 128.8, 129.0, 129.2, 129.7, 139.7, 141.4, 141.9, 145.2, 150.7, 158.3 ppm; ESIMS (*m/z*) 338 [M+H]⁺; DART-HRMS Calcd. for C₂₂H₁₆N₃O [MH]⁺: 338.1293. Found: 338.1302.

Supporting Information

Characterization data for remaining products and copies of ¹H and ¹³C-NMR spectra are available in supporting information.

Acknowledgements

One of the authors (MN) gratefully acknowledges the financial support from Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi in the form of fellowship. The Sophisticated Analytical Instrumentation Facility division, CDRI is gratefully acknowledged for providing the spectral and analytical data.

References

- [1] L. Yet In *Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry*; Vol. 4, 4.01 (Eds. A. R. Katritzky, C. A. Ramsden, E. F. V. Scriven, R. J. K. Taylor); Pergamon: London, **2008**; pp 1-141.
- [2] A few citations only (a) A. M. Youssef, M. S. White, E. B. Villanueva, I. M. El-Ashmawy, A. Klegeris, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2010**, *18*, 2019-2028. (b) R. R. Ranatunge, M. Augustyniak, U. K. Bandarage, R. A. Earl, J. L. Ellis, D. S. Garvey, D. R. Janero, L. G. Letts, A. M. Martino, M. G. Murty, S. K. Richardson, J. D. Schroeder, M. J. Shumway, S. W. Tam, A. M. Trocha, D. V. Young, *J. Med. Chem.* **2004**, *47*, 2180-2193. (c) S. Howard, V. Berdini, J. A. Boulstridge, M. G. Carr, D. M. Cross, J. Curry, L. A. Devine, T. R. Early, L. Fazal, A. L. Gill, M. Heathcote, S. Maman, J. E. Matthews, R. L. McMenamin, E. F. Navarro, M. A. O'Brien, M. O'Reilly, D. C. Rees, M. Reule, D. Tisi, G. Williams, M. Vinkovic, P. G. Wyatt, *J. Med. Chem.* **2009**, *52*, 379-388. (d) S. Lian, H. Su, B.-X. Zhao, W.-Y. Liu, L.-W. Zheng, J.-Y. Miao, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2009**, *17*, 7085-7092. (e) B. Insuasty, A. Tigreros, F. Orozco, J. Quiroga, R. Abonia, M. Noguerras, A. Sanchez, J. Cobo, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2010**, *18*, 4965-4974. (f) Z. K. Sweeney, S. F. Harris, N. Arora, H. Javanbakht, Y. Li, J. Fretland, J. P. Davidson, J. R. Billedeau, S. K. Gleason, D. Hirschfeld, J. J. Kennedy-Smith, T. Mirzadegan, R. Roetz, M. Smith, S. Sperry, J. M. Suh, J. Wu, S. Tsing, A. G. Villasenor, A. Paul, G. Su, G. Heilek, J. Q. Hang, A. S. Zhou, J. A. Jernelius, F. J. Zhang, K. Klumpp, *J. Med. Chem.* **2008**, *51*, 7449-7458. (g) D.-J. Wang, L. Fan, C.-Y. Zheng, Z.-D. Fang, *J. Fluorine Chem.* **2010**, *131*, 584-586. (h) R. Venkat Ragavan, V. Vijayakumar, N. S. Kumari, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2010**, *45*, 1173-1180. (i) A. Tanitame, Y. Oyamada, K. Ofuji, M. Fujimoto, N. Iwai, Y. Hiyama, K. Suzuki, H. Ito, H. Terauchi, M. Kawasaki, K. Nagai, M. Wachi, J.-i. Yamagishi, *J. Med. Chem.* **2004**, *47*, 3693-3696. (j) C.-H. Wu, M.-S. Hung, J.-S. Song, T.-K. Yeh, M.-C. Chou, C.-M. Chu, J.-J. Jan, M.-T. Hsieh, S.-L. Tseng, C.-P. Chang, W.-P. Hsieh, Y. Lin, Y.-N. Yeh, W.-L. Chung, C.-W. Kuo, C.-Y. Lin, H.-S. Shy, Y.-S. Chao, K.-S. Shia, *J. Med. Chem.* **2009**, *52*, 4496-4510.
- [3] L. Yet, Five-Membered Ring Systems: With More than One N Atom, in *Progress in Heterocyclic Chemistry*, ed. G. W. Gribble, J. A. Joule, Elsevier, **2009**, vol. 21, 224-260. (b) Fustero, S.; Simon-Fuentes, A.; Sanz-Cervera, J. F. *Org. Prep. Proced. Int.* **2009**, *41*, 253-290.
- [4] M. Schnurch, R. Flasiak, A. F. Khan, M. Spina, M. D. Mihovilovic, P. Stanetty, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, 3283-3307.
- [5] S. Nag, M. Nayak, S. Batra, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2009**, *351*, 2715-2723 and references cited therein.
- [6] (a) J. T. Reeves, D. R. Fandrick, Z. Tan, J. J. Song, H. Lee, N. K. Yee, C. H. Senanayake, *J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, *75*, 992-994. (b) Q. Cai, Z. Li, J. Wei, L. Fu, C. Ha, D. Pei, K. Ding, *Org. Lett.* **2010**, *12*, 1500-1503. (c) B.-W. Zhou, J.-R. Gao, D. Jiang, J.-H. Jia, Z.-P. Yang, H.-W. Jin, *Synthesis* **2010**, 2794-2798.
- [7] D. Ma and Q. Cai, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2008**, *41*, 4150-4160 and references cited therein.
- [8] M. Wolter, G. Nordmann, G. E. Job, S. L. Buchwald, *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 973-976.

Copper-catalyzed cascade reactions of substituted
4-iodo-pyrazolecarbaldehydes with 1,2-
phenylenediamines and 2-aminophenols

Adv. Synth. Catal. **2010**, 352, Page – Page

Maloy Nayak and Sanjay Batra*

